

# “TEACHINGS FROM THE HIERARCHY”

## Monthly Teaching Letter for the dedicated student/seeker

Channeled through  
Ralph Jordan

No. 08/XVI

August 2008

### COMMUNICATION – PARTNERSHIPS - RELATIONSHIPS

(Channeled in Villmar)

Continuation from No. 07/XVI

Seeker: How can I forgive?

Jordan: By coming to an understanding as to what you have learned from any given situation that you have created for yourself and by directing it in a constructive and useful fashion to promote your environments in a more balanced fashion. As long as we see the negative of the situations we've encountered, we haven't forgiven the situation and the parties involved in it and of course ourselves. And then you can always come to terms with having fulfilled a lot of your karma.

Seeker: Everything we want in a partner or in a relationship is something that we have to have already established in ourselves?

Jordan: It should certainly be commodities that we know exist inside of ourselves.

Seeker: That does not necessarily mean that we have activated them to the fullest?

Jordan: That is correct. They do not always have to be activated to the fullest, but their presence has to be recognized. You should be in the process of bringing them into focus and action in your life. Certainly if on my list is the word fidelity, then I must find fidelity inside myself and be ready to give it in order to get it. And if love in the full scope of the word love is on my list, then I must be ready to be love. If honesty is on my list, then I must be ready to be honest to the best of my recognition and be open to discover when I am not being honest.

Seeker: That leads to another question about the goal in a relationship that one establishes. What happens when two people have two different goals in establishing a relationship with each other?

Jordan: Actually, all of our goals are the same: to be loved, to be accepted, to be healthy, to be successful. We are going to unify our individual identifiers, efforts, and methods of bringing those goals into manifestation. So I listen to candidates' communications. I want to hear their dreams, how they see themselves evolving in the world. I listen to candidates' evaluations of themselves and I watch

their performance with their families. If they are nasty with their families, if they are blaming their families for what occurred in their lives, then they are going to be nasty with me. They are going to blame me for what occurs in their life in the future. So I am very resistant to coming into a union with anyone who isn't very kind to their families.

I watch how they intercommunicate in their professions. If they see an individual within the profession as their great Nemesis, I see how they work with that. If they become fearful, limited, judgmental, then they are not the person for me. They have to know that they have the abilities to be able to work through those learning lessons and to be the master of them. I don't care if they take all of their spirituality and put it into action making money. But if they put it all in the bank and they don't use it constructively, then they are not the person for me. If they are miserly, they are not the person for me.

So I use all of my tools to work with these candidates to determine whether they are in harmony with my goal accomplishments. You can hear when a person is expecting you to be their source of security and they have no interior security. If that's the case, I am not going to create security for them because it's too time consuming, too much of an effort. I'd become bored. I'd entertain feelings of being misused and abused and I am not going to let myself entertain those. So they need to have security within themselves. I am not going to be responsible for creating it for them.

If they aren't loving themselves, the demand for me to constantly show my love to them are going to be so great that it will prohibit my free communication and expansion in other areas of my chosen involvement. So I am not going to waste time with them. I am going to know that they've got to find that love in themselves. It's not my job to instill it in an intimate partner. They should have those commodities that I want in a relationship already. And to some degree they should be active in their lives.

Of course I listen to how they feel about humanity and being a member of humanity. If they are feeling that humanity is a rather limiting source of information, then I am certainly not going to waste time on them. There is too much there that's lacking. It's not my job to put it into action for them. My job is to share what I've got with what they've got and put it together and grow with it. That's what partnership is for me.

Seeker: I'm having difficulties with you saying that if your partner is sloppy and that you want a neat and clean environment, you take responsibility to create the clean environment yourself. Doesn't that enable the partner's sloppiness?

Jordan: On the contrary, it inspires the partner. If the partner doesn't know the value of a neat orderly exterior, then I make it for them.

Seeker: Obviously, he doesn't have that need, otherwise he would take responsibility for creating it.

Jordan: Perhaps he haven't recognized the need. But for each individual to grow inside themselves they really can't be messy. Their brains can't be messy. Their emotions can't be messy. So the more they are exposed to order the more they put order in their brains, in their emotions, and then it becomes reflected in their outer environments.

Seeker: Would you also clean up after your children?

Jordan: I wouldn't do that for my children. I would show them how to do it for themselves. Since I accepted the job of parent I would use that position to its fullest extent. Again, I would say: "I love you darling, but these are your choices. If you want this commodity you will clean up." I have said to my adult children as well as my younger children: Darlings, I love you very much, but if you want success in your meditative endeavors you must put order in your exterior. You must at least order your meditation room. I recommend to put in that meditation room the commodities that you hope will inspire you to release your attachment to your insecurities and to your physical limitations and to go into your more ordered spiritual self. If you don't, you'll get disordered information. And then you'll have to work through them because they belong to you." If you continue in your disorder, then I am aware that that's what you want for yourselves. Is it interfering in my goal? Is it holding me back from achieving the best from my meditations? If it's not, then I let you suffer with it. But I take my parenting seriously.

Seeker: What do you mean with that statement?

Jordan: I am not afraid if they don't like me. Many of them don't at various times, and I don't care. I take my parenting seriously. Too many parents and society is sacrificing their parenting to get their children's approval. That's a shame because eventually the world is going to teach these children and not lovingly.

Seeker: I currently take care for a student, a kid who is the only child and everything has always been done for him. How do I deal with that situation?

Jordan: By making games out of encouraging him to do it for himself.

Seeker: Please tell us a little about the concept of soul mates.

Jordan: I have told you that individuals who unite together and who grow together and who achieve this concept of love and togetherness can separate in the physical and can be thousands of miles apart and never feel separated - mentally or emotionally - from their partner. That's the benefit of soul mate relationships. The confusion and the distortion is that many individuals believe that when you have found your soul mate they are physically with you forever. That's totally untrue. That's why I suggested that you buy the book "Master H" by Pensatia and get a clearer concept of soul mate relationships. Each of them singularly is a whole person inside themselves. The distortion is that you want to find the perfect man to support your outer woman. That's nonsense. If your outer woman needs supporting, your man will get tremendously exhausted from supporting your outer woman and he will have to ignore his own inner woman while he is supporting your outer woman. I mentioned earlier that too many of you were hung up in genetic forms. You haven't recognized the wholeness of your interior being.

You for example haven't brought about the communicative relationship between your inner man and your genetic (feminine) form. If you had brought them together (if you had unified your inner man and your inner woman), you wouldn't need an outer man to make you feel like an outer woman.

You may find your perfect soul mate in the same genetic structure as you are occupying. Then you are going to face an educated conflict and a decision: How far will you merge with this soul mate companion who is genetically in the same form you are? That will present a great difficulty. I am a person. I occupy a masculine form for a purpose in this incarnation. I have occupied feminine forms. I have occupied childlike forms. I have had no form. Now how do I use this structure, this tool to bring

about the best results in my own particular evolution? I am not bound by society's concepts that I have to have a charming little woman to prove I am a man. I already know what form I occupy and I know it's a tool. I know the form does function. So I am quite aware that my soul mate could occupy the same form. Now am I prepared - and to what degree - to create that unification, that marriage, that partnership, that love? And where is it written that love has to express itself sexually? Sex is sex, and love is love. Most of us get totally confused between sex and love. Now love can be expressed sexually, but sex is not necessarily love. Oftentimes sex is need and has nothing to do with love.

So I suggest that you start this communication process between your inner woman and your inner man and that you have a wonderfully fulfilling relationship between that inner being of yours as it relates to your genetic form. And then you choose how you are going to use the genetic form to express this wonderful interior relationship that you've established between your inner man and your inner woman. Then you can decide through the laws of attraction and awareness when you've met your soul mate who has a wonderful relationship between their inner man and their inner woman and knows that the form is a tool and only a tool.

Seeker: We were talking about communication. One of the essential basic forms of communication is the verbal communication. We've talked about intercommunication between people. How do I make sure that what I am saying verbally is being understood by the other person?

Jordan: First of all, I don't give anyone license to say that they don't understand the words I am using. If they have any education they know what the word "no" means. They know what the word "yes" means. They have a conception of what the word "love" means. So they do understand. I don't tolerate "I don't understand." That's an incomplete and an untruthful communication. People may be resistant to what the information is saying and I accept resistance. But that brings it back down to the persons, not to the communicator because they do understand the language the communicator is using. They may be resistant to the communication, but then that's their problem. If I have clearly, precisely identified my purpose and intent for communication and I am putting my belief and my experience behind the communicative words I am using and I know they function, then individuals can deal with all the resistance that they want, but it's not a lack of understanding.

Seeker: I didn't mean the lessons in your class.

Jordan: I know that. The same is true in business, in social life, in a partnership. I have to be clear in my purpose and in my intent. I wouldn't give my partner license to say: "I don't understand." I would give them license to say: "I am having some resistance in accepting what you say. I am not sure that I want to hear what you are saying. I may not be willing to comply with what you are saying." But to say, "I don't understand," is to reinforce ignorance and limitation. The truth is that it's resistance, and people are entitled to their resistance. Then I'll find other forms of expression, which lessens their resistance. But it isn't that they don't understand. If you are precise in your communications, they understand - all humanity does. Do they want to accept it? Or are they being resistant? Which is it? But understand they do - like it they may not. Accept it they may not. Resisted they may. And they may use "I don't understand" to manipulate you into finding other methods of communication that are more acceptable to them. I'll give that full license. But not "I don't understand" because I see them as very capable, otherwise I wouldn't be involved with them - whether it's in a business or whatever it's in.

Per example: I am developing 600 acres of ground in Texas. I have a manager whose job description is to put the roads in, the water in, the electricity in and the lines for the gas, and so forth. It's his job to

do the promotion and to sell the lots to prospective builders and to show them this magnificent piece of land and to encourage them to see the potential profit making that's available there. Now when I sit down in a conference with him and I am conveying to him what I want from him and because he is taking my \$8,000 a month salary, I don't accept "I don't understand" from him because he has an education. He has an expertise in the field of communication we are talking about. He can say to me: "I am resistant to accepting what you want me to do because I want to do it this way." Then I might be willing to listen to what way he wants to do it to see if there is any value, which surpasses how I want him to do it. If I see the value, then I may say: "Okay, do it your way." But I am not going to accept "I don't understand." I am going to be watching to see his body language and I am going to be looking in his eyes to catch his resistances and his emotional reactions to what I am conveying from all three of my communicative forms. Whatever the results may be, I'll take different steps accordingly. If he says, "I don't understand," I'll say: "You are educated in the English language. You understand. You might be resistant. That's your right. But since I am paying the freight and unless you can show me a better way, you are going to do it my way."

Seeker: Let's say something disturbs me in my partnership and I try to tell her (my partner) on a rather superficial level. But in reality there might be a conflict in the relationship to the children we have together. So sometimes the actual deeper conflict isn't even addressed because we are superficial or sloppy in our use of verbal language.

Jordan: Then perhaps you need to clean up your sloppiness and communicate your real problems. You need to find a way of communicating those problems without making accusations. You can't expect an individual, a partner to understand your hidden meaning. If you are using a superficial condition and you are centering on that as opposed to recognizing your hidden meaning and bringing that to the surface, that's not fair and that's not communication.

Seeker: It's not fair if it's used consciously, but sometimes I am not even aware of the reason that's behind it, of the underlying thing. It's not a premeditative thing.

Jordan: I find that difficult to accept because I would think it much more honest to say "we haven't clarified the source of our agitation to its fullest extent. We are jumping on this superficial agitation as a means to convey our discontentment, our agitation." I think before you jump on a superficial action you need to get to the source of the major discontentment and concern that's there rather than making a mountain out of a small mole hill. Getting to the source then leads us to a much more in-depth communication and to a greater clarity of our needing some help in working through our concerns. Then you can use the technique: "I need your help. I am not quite sure where the source of my problem is, but I know I've got a problem. But these are the disturbances that I am confronting. Can you help me?" It leads us to inter-communication to get to the real source of where our disturbance is. That's the method I would take. But I want to get to my real source. There are so many little temporal things that I could nail onto. It's like the dropping of the cigarette ash or the messiness. I could jump on all those things. But I want to know if my partner thinks I should be more patient. That's an indication that somewhere in the partner's consciousness they are seeing me in a dictatorial position or in a non-receptive position. If that's how they see me, I want them to see that that's their problem and not to tell me to be a little more patient. I am willing to work with them if they don't accuse me of being a dictator. They can tell me that they are seeing me doing that, but also that it's their problem. Then they can ask me for help. I may accept that and work with them on it and lessen or change my approach, but the communication has to be there.

So you have to get to the source of your real disturbance. You need to convey that the superficial disturbance is covering over something you haven't found yet, which could affect the children or the financial status or the inter-communication. Then you talk about it without the finger pointing and without the accusation because it's really your problem because it's disrupting you.

I've said to my partner: "You know, I am not quite sure where the source of the problem is, but I am experiencing a lot of unbalanced energy moving through my body that I find myself directing towards this superficial action of yours. Can you help me deal with the superficial action and find out where the source of this agitation is? Then we brought in everything and eventually got to the source.

Seeker: There are two people who are both very strong. Both people are leadership people; both are very dominant people and very strong willed. How can they manage that the one does not govern the other and that they don't govern each other?

Jordan: By not role playing and by being *persons* with strong leadership qualities. By dividing the responsibilities and respecting that division and working for the goal. People who come together with strong leadership qualities who don't want to overrun each other should put together a common goal and divide the responsibilities for the manifestation of the common goal exercising the best of their leadership qualities in the areas of responsibilities in order to manifest the goal.

Om Shanti.

This teaching letter is continued in No. 09/XVI.